UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,915	10/30/2003	Janani Janakiraman	AUS920030756US1	9641	
35525 IBM CORP (Y.	7590 10/29/200 A)	9	EXAMINER		
C/O YEE & AS	SSOCIATES PC	WU, RUTAO			
P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/29/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Notice of About a const	10/697,915	JANAKIRAMAN	ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ROB WU	3628		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress	
This application is abandoned in view of:				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	•	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-	
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.			
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 				
after the expiration of the period for reply.	. (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review	
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:				
No response received.				
	/Rob Wu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3628			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to	

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) **Notice of Abandonment** Part of Paper No. 20091026